REVIEWS

GENE SILENCING IN MAMMALS BY SMALL INTERFERING RNAs

Michael T. McManus and Phillip A. Sharp

Among the 3 billion base pairs of the human genome, there are ~30,000–40,000 protein-coding genes, but the function of at least half of them remains unknown. A new tool — short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) — has now been developed for systematically deciphering the functions and interactions of these thousands of genes. siRNAs are an intermediate of RNA interference, the process by which double-stranded RNA silences homologous genes. Although the use of siRNAs to silence genes in vertebrate cells was only reported a year ago, the emerging literature indicates that most vertebrate genes can be studied with this technology.

FORWARD GENETICS

A genetic analysis that proceeds from phenotype to genotype by positional cloning or candidategene analysis.

REVERSE GENETICS

A genetic analysis that proceeds from genotype to phenotype by gene-manipulation techniques, such as homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells.

RIBOZYME

An RNA molecule with catalytic activity.

Center for Cancer Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 40 Ames Street E17-526, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA. Correspondence to M.T.M. e-mail: mmcmanus@mit.edu doi:10.1038/nrg908 In the early days of molecular biology, genes were first defined through the description of their mutant phenotype. FORWARD GENETICS has the advantage that the phenotype of the mutant gives a clue to the function of the gene. But with the advent of large-scale genome sequencing the situation is different — literally thousands of genes have been identified, but we know nothing of their function. REVERSE GENETICS is now the most effective way to assess the function of a gene, but so far there has been no general method for reverse genetics other than gene targeting by homologous recombination, which is slow and costly. Antisense approaches, such as antisense oligonucleotide and RIBOZYME technologies, have been useful in reverse genetics, but only to a limited degree. By contrast, the promise of small interfering RNA (siRNA) technology to 'knock down' the expression of any gene in vertebrate cells is set to revolutionize reverse genetic approaches.

In 1998, and at the time of the completion of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome project, Andrew Fire and Craig Mello described a new technology that was based on the silencing of specific genes by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA); a technology they called RNA interference (RNAi)¹. This discovery related previous work on post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) in plants (for recent reviews, see REFS 2.3) to the activity of dsRNA. Fire, Mello and colleagues showed that, in *C. elegans*, the presence of just a few molecules of dsRNA was sufficient to almost

completely abolish the expression of a gene that was homologous to the dsRNA. This report was followed by a flood of papers that described RNAi silencing of *C. elegans* genes, which has irreversibly changed the field of *C. elegans* genetics. Since the recent development of RNAi technology in mammalian systems, a similar flood of papers has appeared. However, this time investigators are not only using RNAi to elucidate gene function, but also developing antiviral therapeutics. RNAi is evolving at a faster pace than ever, and this review provides a practical and theoretical update on the newest approaches to silencing mammalian genes in cell culture.

Introducing the short interfering RNAs

The discovery of RNAi was followed by studies of its mechanisms. Work in *C. elegans* indicated that RNAi involved at least two important steps, and that the first step probably involved the generation of a sequence-specific silencing agent⁴. A strong candidate for this agent was a special class of short RNAs that was originally reported by Andrew Hamilton and David Baulcombe⁵. They found that *Arabidopsis* plants undergoing transgene- or virus-induced PTGS contained 21–25-nucleotide (nt) long RNAs that were complementary to both strands of the silenced gene and that had been processed from a long dsRNA precursor. The processing of long dsRNAs to 21–23-nt RNAs was recapitulated *in vitro*, using extracts from *Drosophila*



Figure 1 | Short interfering RNAs are at the heart of RNA interference. a | Short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) typically consist of two 21-nucleotide (nt) single-stranded RNAs that form a 19-bp duplex with 2-nt 3' overhangs. b | Long double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is processed by the Dicer–RDE-1 complex to form siRNAs. The antisense strand of the siRNA is used by an RNA interference (RNAi) silencing complex to guide mRNA cleavage, so promoting mRNA degradation. RDE-1 (RNAi deficient-1)⁹⁹.

melanogaster embryos⁶ and extracts from Drosophila S2 cells (a standard Drosophila cell line)7. Other investigations revealed that small 21-23-nt RNAs were associated with RNAi silencing in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila*⁸⁻¹⁰. The cloning and sequencing of these RNAs revealed that they had a very specific structure: 21-23-nt dsRNAs with 2-nt 3'-end overhangs11 (FIG. 1a). The evidence that these short RNAs determined RNAi specificity came from studies in Drosophila, in which small RNAs that were isolated from cells undergoing silencing were shown to be sufficient to induce specific silencing in naive Drosophila embryo lysates and S2 cells^{6,9,11}. In addition, when synthetic 21- and 22-nt RNA duplexes were added to the lysate they were able to guide efficient sequence-specific mRNA degradation (FIG. 1b). These small RNAs were named short interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Since these reports, RNA synthesizers around the world have been working overtime to meet the demand for synthetic siRNAs, which seem to be as

active as the ones produced enzymatically in vitro. Because chemically synthesized siRNAs can be expensive, several groups have devised strategies to synthesize short RNAs in vitro that rely on T7 phage polymerase. In one scheme, the T7 polymerase is used to transcribe individual siRNA sense and antisense strands, which are then annealed to produce a siRNA^{12,13}. In another scheme, the T7 polymerase is used to transcribe siRNA strands that are linked in cis, so forming a hairpin structure^{13,14}. Notably, in both cases, the transcribed RNAs contain 5' triphosphate termini, as opposed to a 5' monophosphate that is required for siRNA functionality in Drosophila¹⁵. So, either the 5' triphosphate is converted to a 5' monophosphate in mammalian cells, or their 5' structure requirement is not as stringent. Another group has developed an approach to produce siRNAs from in vitro nucleolytic processing of longer dsRNAs. In this strategy, long in vitro-transcribed dsRNA is processed to siRNAs by a purified bacterial RNase III enzyme¹⁶.

Introducing the small temporal RNA

A new strategy for regulating gene expression *in vivo* was discovered when *lin-4* (lineage-abnormal-4) and *let-7* (*lethal-7*) RNAs were identified in *C. elegans*^{17,18}. The *lin-4* and *let-7* RNAs are unusual because they are expressed as 22-nt RNAs, having been processed from ~70-nt precursor hairpins. Both genes affect the timing and sequence of postembryonic development in *C. elegans*¹⁸⁻²², and worms that are mutant at either of these loci are said to have a heterochronic phenotype — a defect in developmental timing. Worms that are mutant for *lin-4* reiterate an early larval development, whereas those that are mutant for *let-7* do not progress through the last larval moult into adulthood.

The *lin-4* RNA is a negative regulator of the developmentally important protein-coding *lin-14* and *lin-28* genes^{17,19-21}. The 22-nt *lin-4* RNA contains homology to specific regions (target sequences) of the 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of *lin-14* and *lin-28* and, perhaps, to other developmentally important genes. Genetic data indicate that the deletion of the *lin-4* target sequences in the 3' UTR causes an unregulated gain-of-function phenotype. Similarly, fusion of the *lin-4* 3' UTR to a reporter renders it susceptible to developmental regulation^{17,21}. Northern blot analysis of the target mRNAs indicates that the message might remain stably associated with POLYSOMES, and the current models suggest that *lin-4*, and probably *let-7*, brings about the translational repression of its targets¹⁹.

let-7 is a translational negative regulator that targets the 3' UTR of *lin-41* (FIG. 2a), another developmentally important gene. The sequence of *let-7* is remarkably conserved among bilaterally symmetrical animals, and Northern blots confirmed that *let-7* was indeed expressed across phyla²³. On the basis of these data, Amy Pasquinelli *et al.* proposed the name small temporal RNAs (stRNAs) for genes such as *lin-4* and *let-7*, and have suggested that other similar RNAs might be found²³.

POLYSOME

A functional unit of protein synthesis that consists of several ribosomes that are attached along the length of a single molecule of RNA.



b Scarecrow-like transcription factor



Figure 2 | **Small RNAs in development. a** | An example of a short temporal (st)RNA–mRNA interaction in *Caenorhabditis elegans. let-7* (*lethal-7*) encodes a non-coding hairpin RNA that is processed to form a 22-nucleotide (nt) stRNA, which regulates *lin-41* (lineage-abnormal-41) expression. *lin-41* in turn regulates the timing of the transition from the last larval stage to adulthood. The *let-7* stRNA is shown annealed to two target sites in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of *lin-41* (a line indicates complementary base pairing, whereas a dot indicates a mismatch). The *lin-41* UTR contains other presumptive interaction sites for *let-7* and perhaps *lin-4* stRNA, another micro (mi)RNA that controls developmental timing. The asterisks indicate a mismatch. **b** | Plant miRNAs are nearly perfectly complementary to their putative targets. So far, 15 identified plant miRNAs contain perfect or near-perfect complementarity to sequences in plant mRNAs^{41,43}. The *MIR171* miRNA is perfectly complementary to sequences in mRNAs from three developmentally important Scarecrow-like transcription factor family^{41,42}. **c** | The *MIR165* miRNA potentially targets the PHABULOSA (*PHB*) and PHAVOLUTA (*PHV*) mRNAs⁴³. Its predicted target sequence lies in a region to which previously identified gain-of-function mutations map. If *MIR165* miRNA can no longer bind to these mutated sequences, then the ectopic expression of *PHB* and *PHV* in these mutants might result from a loss of *MIR165*-mediated repression^{43,95}. The *Arabidopsis* ATHB proteins are characterized by the presence of a homeodomain (HD) with a closely linked leucine zipper motif (ZIP) and are able to bind DYAD-SYMMETRIC DNA sequences in a different way to the classic HD proteins. Moreover, they contain a star-related lipid-transfer (START) domain, which is a property of proteins that are involved in lipid transport, signal transduction and transcriptional regulation. PHB, PHV, REV (REVOLUTA) and Scarecrow-like proteins have been implicated in cell patte

A common pathway for stRNAs and siRNAs

The size similarity between the siRNAs and stRNAs indicated a potential link between RNAi and stRNA-mediated silencing^{23–25}. Genetic data on silencing through siRNAs and stRNAs revealed that the enzyme **Dicer** links these two processes^{26,27}. Dicer contains two RNase III motifs, an RNA helicase domain and a dsRNA-binding domain, and as initially predicted by Brenda Bass²⁸, this enzyme catalyses the cleavage of long dsRNA to 21–23-nt siRNA products⁷. In addition to being involved in siRNA production⁷, Dicer is also

involved in the processing of the 21–23-nt stRNAs from ~70-nt stable hairpin (or stem–loop) precursors^{26,27,29}. When Dicer was knocked down in human HeLa cells using siRNAs, 72-nt unprocessed human *let-7* precursor accumulated in these cells²⁹. Analogously, knocking down Dicer in worms resulted in heterochronic phenotypes, as well as in the accumulation of unprocessed *let-7* and *lin-4* (REFS 26,27,30).

The EIF2C (elongation initiation factor 2c)/ Argonaute class of proteins provides another link between RNAi and stRNAs. This class of proteins is

DYAD SYMMETRY A sequence is said to be dyad symmetric (or pallindromic) when it is symmetric about a

central axis. [Au: ok?]

characterized by a conserved PAZ (Piwi-argonautezwille) domain, which is also found in Dicer. Although the function of this domain is unknown, it was shown that proteins of this family have a crucial role in RNAi and PTGS in different organisms^{31–33}. The original descriptions of the EIF2C class characterized its association with the ribosome and its role in protein translation^{34,35}, and there is evidence that the RNAi machinery might be associated with the ribosomal machinery¹⁰. It is disappointing that this family does not contain any obvious motifs or domains that could indicate how its members might function in the cell. Worms that are deficient for two proteins in this class, ALG-1 (Argonaute-like gene 1) and ALG-2, have a heterochronic phenotype²⁶ and accumulate unprocessed lin-4 precursor hairpins. Similarly, two Argonaute homologues are required for RNAi in Drosophila^{31,33}. In fact, the human Argonaute protein, EIF2C, purifies as a component of the RNAi-induced silencing complex (RISC)^{36,51}.

Recently, many more 21-23-nt RNAs have been found in different organisms. Endogenous 21- and 22mer RNAs of Drosophila were identified in the course of cloning and analysing 21-23-nt RNAs that had been produced in a Drosophila RNAi in vitro assay¹¹. Soon after, three groups embarked on specifically identifying endogenous small RNA regulators in worms, flies and humans^{37–39}. These early efforts have resulted in the identification of more than 90 potential hairpin structures, the flanking sequences of which could generate 21-23-nt regulating RNAs. Because not all of these RNAs seemed to be temporally expressed like lin-4 and let-7, the term micro-RNAs (miRNAs) was given to this large class of 21-23-nt RNAs. So, the lin-4 and let-7 RNAs are considered to be miRNAs as well, but because of their temporal expression and function, they satisfy the definition of stRNAs. Similarly, it is possible that other functional groupings will emerge, such as miRNAs that mediate spatial development (sdRNAs), the stress response (srRNAs) or even the cell cycle (ccRNAs). Analysis of the miRNA distribution in mouse tissues has indicated that many of them are tissue specific⁴⁰. The same can be said for plants, in which some miRNAs are enriched in specific plant organs, such as flowers^{41,42}. Recent data from David Bartel and colleagues indicate that miRNAs in plants might target open reading frames (ORFs) and possibly silence genes through mRNA-degradative siRNA-like mechanisms⁴³. It seems that, in plants, most miRNAs are perfectly, or near-perfectly, complementary to sequences in the mRNAs of several transcription factors^{41,43} (FIG. 2b).

In mammals, many of the miRNAs are produced in a stage- and/or tissue-specific manner, potentially implicating them in the stRNA pathways. Many of the vertebrate miRNAs were identified just once, indicating that the sequencing screens that lead to their identification have not been saturating. So, the number of miRNAs might be far greater than the ~150 sequences so far identified. The group of Gideon Dreyfuss described ~40 miRNAs, a few of which were identical to those previously reported⁴⁴. This new batch was identified from EIF2C immunoprecipitations of GEMIN3 (also known as DDX20, DEAD/H (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp/His) box polypeptide 20) and GEMIN4 (Gem (nuclear organelle)-associated protein 4) proteins, two proteins that are known to associate with the survival of motor neurons (SMN) complex. This complex is known to have an important role in the assembly, restructuring and function of diverse ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰. Importantly, instead of SMN, the complex of miRNAs and GEMIN3/4 contains the Argonaute homologue EIF2C and the enzyme DICER (Z. Mourelatos, personal communication). It is not clear whether the GEMIN3/4 complex has a role in RNAi, but GEMIN3 contains helicase motifs, suggesting that it might have a role in the processing of miRNAs⁴⁴. Data from György Hutvágner and Phillip Zamore support the finding that miRNAs and siRNAs might reside in the same complex⁵¹. They found that the endogenous human homologue of let-7 was present in a complex that can direct targeted degradation of an exogenous mRNA that contains a site of perfect complementarity to let-7 (FIG. 3). Together, these studies provide extra links between RNAi and the endogenous miRNAs.

Using siRNAs in mammalian cells

When RNAi was first discovered in the worm, researchers immediately began using this technology to analyse the functions of genes. A similarly rapid adoption of siRNA technology followed the definition of the structure of the siRNAs and their ability to silence genes in mammalian cells^{11,52}. More and more groups are seizing siRNA technology to elucidate mammalian gene function.

TABLE 1 summarizes the work of several groups who have recently reported successful siRNA-mediated knockdown of mammalian genes. A brief inspection shows that the types of gene that are being knocked down vary widely, from structural to catalytic proteins. HeLa cells, well known for their ease of transfection, are now the cell line of choice for siRNA knockdowns. Essentially, 100% of HeLa cells can be transfected with siRNAs using lipophilic agents that will transport the nucleic acids across the membranes. The efficiency of transfection is typically higher for siRNAs than for plasmid DNA, for any given transfection agent or method⁵³ (M.T.M. and P.A.S., unpublished data). As TABLE 1 indicates, various cell lines from different species have also been shown to be good recipients for siRNAs. At present, the transfectability of cells is the limiting step in siRNAmediated gene silencing. PRIMARY CELLS are notorious for having low transfection efficiencies (less than a few per cent) when plasmid DNA is used. However, a few groups have carried out RNAi in cells that are difficult to transform, such as in T cells and primary cells^{53–55}.

The efficiency of transfection depends not only on the cell type, but also on the passage number and the CONFLUENCY of the cells. Most groups use OligofectamineTM as a cationic lipid carrier for nucleic acids because of its low toxicity and its ability to transfect at low cell confluency. Depending on the cell type used, non-cationic lipid-based carriers (such as Transit-TKOTM) might be preferable. Many other transfection

PRIMARY CELL

A cell that is taken from a tissue source and whose progeny are grown in culture, before subdivision and transfer to a subculture.

CONFLUENCE The degree at which a monolayer of tissue culture cells occupies the growth dish.

DEAD BOX

A highly conserved motif in a family of putative RNA helicases; it takes its name from the single letter code for its amino-acid sequence.

reagents are available, and as different reagents can be cell specific, it is always prudent to test which one works best for a given cell line. Several groups have used multiple lipid transfections for siRNA-mediated knockdowns (TABLE 1). These knockdowns have to be carried out over a period of a week, and might be useful for proteins that are unusually stable or when cells need to be grown for a long time to observe a phenotype. siRNA can also be electroporated into cells that are difficult to transfect⁵³.



Figure 3 | MicroRNAs and short interfering RNAs might use the same RNA-processing complex to direct silencing. Accumulating data indicate that microRNAs (miRNAs) and short interfering (siRNAs) might reside in the same silencing complex that could also contain EIF2C2 (a eukaryotic translation initiation factor), GEMIN3 and GEMIN4 (GEM3, GEM4, DEAD BOX containing, putative RNA helicases)^{33,36,44,51}. Processing of the miRNA precursor hairpin or long double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) would lead to a single-stranded ~21–23-nucleotide (nt) RNA that is associated with the miRNP–RISC complex. This complex might direct either mRNA translational repression or mRNA target cleavage, depending on the degree of complementarity between the ~21–23-nt RNA and the mRNA (the blue box represents the coding region). RISC, RNAi-silencing complex; miRNP, microribonucleoprotein; stRNA, small temporal RNA.

Although this method gives high siRNA transfection efficiency (>95%), 50% or more cells can die during the electroporation. If the cells are adherent, dead cells can simply be washed off the plate on the following day.

In several lower eukaryotes, an RNA-dependent polymerase is thought to amplify the introduced long dsRNA, possibly leading to higher levels of siRNAs⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹. In C. elegans, data support the idea that siRNA pairing with mRNA results in the extension of the siRNA along the mRNA template to produce a long dsRNA, which could then be processed by Dicer to produce even more siRNAs⁵⁹. Mammalian cells probably lack the siRNA amplification mechanisms that confer RNAi potency and longevity in organisms such as worms or plants. This being the case, it seems intuitive that a highly expressed mammalian transcript might be more difficult to knock down than a rare one. Although this question has not been systematically studied, moderately to highly expressed genes, such as the nuclear lamin genes, can be knocked down to undetectable levels^{52,60}. Also, the abundant transcripts of actin and vimentin can be knocked down to levels that are sufficient to confer phenotypes⁶⁰. This is probably because each RNAi silencing complex in the cell can carry out several rounds of mRNA cleavage⁵¹. Differences in siRNA effectiveness, transfection efficiencies, cell type and protein stability might all contribute to the efficiency of siRNA-mediated RNAi, making it difficult to compare the results from studies of different genes. A scan of TABLE 1 yields numerous examples of genes that are expressed at low levels and that can be efficiently silenced.

But what if we wanted to knock down two or more highly expressed genes simultaneously? One report indicates that a double knockdown of the nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (NUMA1) and lamin can be accomplished in HeLa cells⁶¹. But M.T.M. and colleagues reported that the simultaneous knockdown of the highly abundant T-cell CD4 and CD8 cell-surface markers was not trivial, and that CD4 siRNAs could compete with CD8 siRNAs in silencing experiments⁵³. These results support the idea that the RNAi machinery might be titratable or limiting in mammalian cells and in *Drosophila*^{9,62}. So, the use of several siRNAs to study different genes in a given pathway or network is likely to require careful control of siRNA concentrations.

It is important to establish a time course for the knockdown of protein levels in siRNA experiments. Generally, the siRNA directs rapid reduction in mRNA levels that is readily observable in 18 hours or less ⁵³. However, different proteins have different turnover rates, and stable proteins require a longer period of exposure to siRNAs to be knocked down than do less stable ones. In most cases, siRNA-mediated RNAi lasts for ~3–5 cell doublings, that is, 3–5 days for most cell lines, and normal gene expression resumes in ~7–10 cell doublings. If the cells are serum starved or their growth is otherwise arrested, this window might be extended, indicating that the loss of siRNA effectiveness is probably due to dilution below an effective level, rather than degradation of the siRNAs.

Table 1 Use of small interfering RNAs in mammalian cells											
Targeted genes	Cell type	Location on mRNA*	Effective/ tested siRNAs	Time (hours)	%KD	Verification [‡]	No. of tfxs	Tfx method [§]	Phenotype Refere	ences	
CEP135	СНО	8–26	1/1	48	85–90	W, IF	2	Lfm	Cell growth, microtubule organization	100	
CP110	HeLa	397–418, 896–917	2/2	48	97–99	W, IF	3	Ofm	Centrosome amplification	101	
Multiple (21)	HeLa, 3T3, rat FB	See reference	21/22	40-48	See reference	W, IF	1	Ofm	Apoptosis, arrest, loss of stress fibres, aberrant spindles, blebbing; 13 out of 21 genes were essential for growth	60	
RalA, RASSF1	HeLa, Caco2	NR	2/2	72	97–99	W	1	Ofm	Exocytosis defect	102	
ShcA	HeLa	677–697, 236–256	2/2	48	97–99	W	1	Ofm	ND	103	
<i>HMps1</i> kinase	HeLa	135–155	1/1	48–72	NR	W, IF	1	Ofm	Checkpoint-arrest defect	104	
PRSC (corin)	HL-5, 293	NR	1/1	24–28	NR	NR	1	Ofm	Inhibition of pro-ANP processing	105	
GAGII	HeLa	65–87, 461–482	2/2	96	NR	NR	3	Ofm	Inhibition of GAG synthesis	106	
CD4, CD8	T cells, HeLa	See reference	1/5	36	85–90	N, F	1	E, Ofm	Inhibition of CD4, CD8; primary T cells	53	
HTF, PSKH1	HeLa, Cos-1, 293, HaCaT	See reference	6/16	96–120	90	Ν	1	Lpf	Inhibition of TF activity	63	
Tsg101	293T	413-433	1/1	72	NR	N, W	1	Ofm	Arrest of HIV budding	107	
Cdc14A	HeLa	89–109	1/1	48–72	NR	IF, W	1	Ofm	Aberrant chromosome partioning	108	
Rad17	HeLa	NR	1/1	48	NR	W, IF	2	Ofm	Defective DNA– damage response	109	
EGFP	HeLa, 293, MEF	NR	1/1	24	>85	N, F	1	Lfm	GFP silencing	54	
Luc, LMNA	HeLa, cos, 293, 3T3	Distributed	6/7	40-48	>85	W, IF	1–3	Ofm, Lfm	Luciferase, lamin silencing	52	

*Location of the siRNA target site along the mRNA is expressed as the number of nucleotides downstream from the start codon. *Knockdown (KD) verification was carried out by western blot (W), northern blot (N), immunofluorescence (IF) or flow cytometry (F). Transfection (Tfx) method[§] included Oligofectamine (Ofm), Lipofectamine (Lfm), Lipofectin (Lpf) and electroporation (E). ANP, atrial natriuretic peptide: *Cdc14A*, cell division cycle 14 homologue A; *CEP135*, centrosomal protein of 135 KDa; *CP110*, centrolar protein of 110 kDa; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; GAG, glycosaminoglycan; *GAGII*, beta 3GaIT6; *HMps1* kinase, human mono polar spindle-kinase; *HTF*, human tissue factor; *LMNA*, lamin A/C; *Luc*, luciferase; ND, not determined; NR, not reported; *PSKH1*, protein serine kinase H1; *Rad17*, *RAD17* homologue; *RalA*, v-ral simian leukaemia viral oncogene homologue A; *RASSF1*, Ras association (RaIGDS/AF-6) domain family 1; *ShcA*, src homology 2 domain-containing transforming protein C1; *Tsg101*, tumour susceptibility gene 101.

mRNA targeting by siRNAs

Typically, the objective of using siRNAs is to silence a specific gene in a mammalian cell, therefore the base-pairing region for an siRNA must be selected carefully to avoid chance complementarity to an unrelated mRNA. BLAST sequence analysis programs should be used to screen candidate siRNA sequences for specificity, although this recommendation is based on the assumption that the sequence database is complete. To a certain degree, RNAi can tolerate siRNA:mRNA mismatches, with 1- and 2-bp mismatches only partially reducing the rate and extent of cleavage⁶³, particularly if the mismatches occur near the end of the duplex⁶⁴. It has been suggested that the first 50–100 nt of a cDNA sequence, downstream of the translation start site, should be used to target a gene, and that 5' or 3' UTRs, as well as the regions around the start site, should be avoided, as they might be rich in regulatory protein binding sites⁶⁵. However, we do not yet know if any mRNA region is the most optimal for siRNA targeting. In fact, the data in TABLE 1 indicate that successful RNAi can be attained by targeting regions that are distributed throughout the mRNA, including the 3' UTR. However, targeting different regions of a given mRNA might give different results. For example, only about one in five siRNAs that are specific for the mouse CD4 and CD8 genes tested were effective⁵³. In this case, only those that targeted the 3' UTR or the vicinity of the mouse CD4 and CD8 genes were active. By contrast, siRNAs that target the ORF of human CD4 are effective^{66,67}. One advantage of targeting the 3' UTR is that the knockdown phenotype can be verified by transfecting a plasmid that contains the gene sequence with a different 3' UTR.

So far, there has not yet been a systematic study of relative efficiencies of different siRNAs tiled across an entire length of mRNA. Torgeir Holen et al. carried out limited tiling across a region of the human coagulation trigger factor gene (TF)63. These experiments indicated positional effects at the level of codon resolution; that is, shifting the siRNA target site by only three nucleotides at a time resulted in different degrees of silencing. These studies indicate that mRNA structure might govern accessibility to the siRNA. Alternatively, the sequence composition of siRNAs could influence its activity in vivo. Michaela Scherr and colleagues have developed a method for selecting regions of mRNA that are most effective for antisense targeting, and this method has already been used to target HIV with siRNAs⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹. Further studies will show whether this method is generally applicable. In practical terms, if knockdown of a gene is not seen, it is easiest to simply try another siRNA sequence that targets a different region of the gene.

Inhibiting viral infection in mammalian cells

RNAi and related phenomena, such as PTGS, protect plant tissues from viral infection (for a review, see REF. 70). This is probably also the case in other organisms, but so far there has been no evidence for endogenous RNAi activity that limits virus infection in vertebrates. Initial studies to test the potential application of synthetic siRNAs to inhibit virus infection have been promising^{55,66,67,71–73,74}.

Vira Bitko and Sailen Barik recently described an approach to silence mRNAs that are expressed by the RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRUS (RSV), a negative-strand virus that is the causative agent of a severe respiratory disease⁷¹. In these studies, siRNAs were directed against specific RSV mRNAs, resulting in decreased mRNA expression. However, a knockdown of the fulllength viral genomic strand was not seen, despite the effects on the viral mRNAs. The authors reasoned that the viral genome might be inaccessible to siRNAs, perhaps due to being enclosed in a condensed protein-RNA complex. In other studies, siRNA-mediated silencing of HIV was seen in cultured cell lines and in human primary T cells^{55,66,67,73,74}. In these experiments, siRNAs were used to target the HIV long-terminalrepeat sequence, as well as five genes that are encoded by the HIV virus. From these studies, it is clear that the silencing of HIV by siRNAs can reduce viral levels by as much as 30-50-fold. Some reduction is also seen with siRNAs that contain a single nucleotide mismatch, but not with four nucleotide mismatches⁵⁵. siRNAs can also confer resistance to poliovirus⁷². In this study, the protective effect of siRNAs over time was evaluated, and siRNA-resistant virus was detected. However, resistant virus molecules were already present in the original viral population and were given a selective advantage on treatment with siRNA. These data indicate that several siRNA sequences should be used to avoid selection pressure for the replication of viruses that render them immune to siRNA-mediated silencing. Although these

data should be considered as preliminary in terms of medical applications, they provide strong justification for further investigation of the use of siRNAs as antiviral agents in therapeutic settings.

Recent data indicate that the in vivo delivery of siRNAs in mice is possible. In two studies, siRNAs were injected under hydrostatic pressure into the mouse tail vein, and silencing of reporter transgenes was observed in different tissues^{75,76}. Not all tissues responded equally to the siRNA treatment, but 5-10fold downregulation of transgene expression was seen in the liver, spleen, lung, pancreas and kidney. One study showed effective silencing of a hepatitis C viral transgene that was co-transfected with siRNAs⁷⁵. The transfection method used in these studies is unlikely to be easy to use in humans because of the detrimental physiological side effects. Therefore, finding appropriate delivery methods for siRNAs might be a major barrier for therapeutics in humans. However, the fact that siRNAs can silence transgene and pathogenic gene expression in vivo fuels the optimism that siRNAs might one day be used to treat human diseases.

In plants, RNAi mediates an antiviral response, and viruses have responded by evolving potent RNAi inhibitors. The sequences of these RNAi inhibitors are quite diverse, and different proteins can inhibit different steps in the RNAi pathway. Although the RNAi inhibitors described so far are all proteins, it is conceivable that some RNAi inhibitors might be RNA based. For example, viruses might encode their own miRNAs and use them to target cellular machinery, including components of the RNAi pathway. It is not clear whether mammalian viruses encode either protein- or RNA-based RNAi inhibitors, but a recent report indicates that a virus shared by insects and plants contains a protein that inhibits RNAi in both plants and *Drosophila* cells⁷⁷.

The structure of endogenous silencing RNAs

Most miRNAs seem to be processed asymmetrically from a precursor hairpin stem; that is, only one 21-24-nt strand of the precursor stem accumulates in cells. Sometimes the 21-23-nt miRNA forms a perfect duplex in the hairpin stem, but more often, multiple bulges disrupt the 21-23-nt duplex. Similar to other RNase III enzymes, Dicer can process complex hairpin structures that contain several mismatches in the helical stem²⁹. Little is known about the structural determinants that are necessary for the processing of stRNAs or miRNAs into ~21-nt RNAs. Studies of RNase III enzymes from bacteria have shown that dsRNA cleavage can be directed by the sequences and structural features of the precursor RNA78-80. However, a comparison carried out in a large class of miRNA hairpins that are likely to be processed by Dicer has yet to reveal any obvious determinants that might guide Dicer recognition and processing.

Curiously, when the sequences of *lin-4* and *let-7* were tested for complementarity to their targets, it turned out that only 50–85% of the stRNA residues

RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRUS A virus that is responsible for many respiratory tract infections, including the common cold.



Figure 4 | Long cytoplasmic double-stranded RNA activates many cellular pathways. Even though long double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) can mediate gene-specific interference in early mouse embryos and in mouse oocytes, in somatic mammalian cells it also activates many cellular pathways, some of which can lead to apoptosis. The dsRNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is an important antiviral 'detector protein', and is activated by binding to long dsRNAs, such as those produced by viruses. Once PKR is activated, it inhibits protein translation by phosphorylating the α -subunit of the translation initiation factor (EIF α). EIF 2α phosphorylation is followed by a general suppression of protein synthesis that directs the cell towards apoptosis. PKR also activates the 2', 5'-OligoA synthetase/RNase L enzymes, which are central components of an important anti-viral pathway. On binding of the dsRNAs, 2', 5'-OligoA synthetase is activated, generating oligoadenylates that activate RNase L, which in turn degrades RNA. dsRNA can also induce interferon (IFN)- α and - β expression, which, in conjunction with the other signals, can stimulate apoptosis (for reviews, see **REFS 97.98**). Because siRNAs are <30 bp long, they fail to activate the PKR and interferon pathways efficiently. pppA(2'p5'A) is an oligoadenylate involved in the PKR pathway.

base pair with the mRNA 3' UTR (FIG. 3a). By contrast, Sayda Elbashir and colleagues have shown that nearly perfect duplex formation between the target mRNA and the siRNA antisense strand was required for mRNA cleavage in RNAi⁶⁴. One possibility is that the stRNAs cannot activate the degradation pathway because they do not form complete duplexes with the target mRNA. Because the homology between the stRNAs and the target mRNA typically comprises only 5-14 nt, it is difficult to find target genes using a bioinformatics approach. In Drosophila, two 3'-UTR sequence motifs, the K box (cUGUGAUa) and the Brd box (AGCUUUA) have been suggested as potential miRNA target sites^{81,82}. These boxes are complementary to the 5' end of several miRNAs, and their removal from the 3' UTR of a gene relieves the posttranscriptional repression that they mediate^{81,82}. The 5' ends of many miRNAs are perfectly complementary to these 3'-UTR repressor sequence motifs, indicating that potentially many miRNAs could regulate a given target.

Making hairpin RNAs that silence genes

RNAi analysis of gene function in lower organisms has

been greatly assisted by the development of *in vivo* systems that express long dsRNA hairpins (typically, of 500–1,000 nt)^{83–86}. In mammals, this has been accomplished in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells^{87,88}. However, attempts to develop such long dsRNA hairpin-based gene-silencing systems in differentiated somatic mammalian cells have so far been unsuccessful, possibly due to the non-specific effects on gene expression that result from long (>50 bp) dsRNA activation of the interferon (IFN)-related pathways^{87,88} (FIG. 4). The discovery of the stRNAs and miRNAs with hairpin precursors has prompted many researchers to investigate whether silencing could be achieved using small hairpins, thereby avoiding the potent IFN response.

A method for stable gene silencing in mammalian cells through a siRNA-related process has recently been developed. During the course of three months, nine papers reported that the expression of siRNAs from a DNA template can silence gene expression as effectively as do synthetic siRNAs^{13,14,67,89-94} (TABLE 2). These reports indicate how two concerns about siRNA technology — the efficiency of transfection and the longevity of the silenced state — can be solved. The first of these papers reported the generation of a stable culture of cells, passaged for more than two months, in which significant knockdown of endogenous TP53 expression was achieved through an integrated copy of a synthetic gene that expressed a specifically designed hairpin RNA⁸⁹. Polymerase III (pol III), which normally transcribes small RNAs such as tRNAs, was used in this and other reports to transcribe the siRNAs or RNA hairpins (FIG. 5). One key advantage of the pol III system is that transcription terminates at a defined stretch of thymidine residues (uridines in RNA), leaving 1-4 uridines at the 3'-terminus of the nascent RNA, thereby making it similar to many siRNAs.

Among these reports are attempts to make hairpins that are directly modelled on stRNAs/miRNAs, including bulges and mismatches along the hairpin stem and even loop sequences that are directly derived from stRNAs/miRNAs. In other experiments, the two strands of an active siRNA were simply linked at one end by a few nucleotides. It seems that both approaches can work, although certain structural and sequence configurations have failed to do so. Many of these early reports indicated that even minor base changes in the hairpin orientation, hairpin loop sequences or stem structure were sufficient to affect silencing. Thyn Brummelkamp et al.89 suggested that two uridines placed at the base of the hairpin loop were key features for silencing. M.T.M. and colleagues⁹⁴ found large differences in silencing activity when just a single nucleotide was changed in a bulge at the base of the 21-nt stem. Patrick Paddison et al.14 suggested that longer duplexes, up to 29 nt, were markedly more efficient at silencing than were the shorter ones. At the moment, it is not clear what makes the 'best' RNA-silencing hairpin.

Table 2 DNA silencing constructs										
Genes	Cell type	Construct type*	Loop size (nt)	Maximum % knockdown	Verification [‡]	Comment Refe	rences			
Luc, p53	HeLa, 293, Cos-1, NIH3T3, IMR90	HP	4–23	85–90	N, IF,	P53 null phenotype	14			
HIV rev	293	SIT	NA	90	N, IF	HIV inhibition	67			
Neuronal tubulin, GFP	P19	SIT, HP	3	NR	IF	Experiments performed in differentiating cells	13			
Luc, CD4, CD8	T cell, HeLa	HP	4–9	80–85	N, F	Silencing in T cells	53			
GFP, Dnmt1, LMNA, cdk-2	HeLa, U2OS, H1299, C-33A	HP	6	>90	W, IF	NR	92			
GFP, LMNA	HeLa	HP	4	>90	IF	N + 27§	90			
P53, CDH1, CDC20	HeLa, MCF7	HP	2–9	>90	N, IF, W	P53 null phenotype; experiments performed in stable cells	89			
Hyg/GFP, Luc, CTNNB1	HeLa	SIT	NA	95	IF, N	EBNA-ori in plasmids	91			
Tat, CAT	293T	HP	15	70–80	N, IF, W	Pol II transcription TL silencing	93			

*HP, DNA constructs that produce hairpin RNAs; SIT, separate short interfering RNA strands are transcribed in *trans*.; F, flow cytometry; IF, immunofluorescence; N, northern blot; W, western blot; ⁵N + 27, first 27 nucleotides of the *U*6 gene were present in the hairpin; EBNA-ori, Epstein Barr Virus origin of replication; TL silencing, translational silencing observed; *CAT*, chloramphenicol acetyltransferase; *CDC20*, CDC20 cell division cycle 20 homologue; *CDH1*, cadherin 1, type 1, E-cadherin; *cdk-2*, cyclin-dependent kinase 2; *CTNNB1*, β-catenin; *DNMT1*, DNA methyltransferase (cytosine-5) 1; *GFP*, green fluorescent protein; *HIV rev*, human immunodeficiency virus regulator of virion expression; *Hyg*, hygromycin, *LMNA*, lamin A/C; *Luc*, luciferase; *Tat*, transactivator of transcription. NR, not reported.

The data in TABLE 2 indicate that, similar to siRNAs, RNAi from DNA templates are effective in a wide number of cell types and against a wide variety of genes. This is not surprising, as the active silencing molecule is probably siRNA. Several of these experiments were followed up with northern blots that



Figure 5 | Two new approaches for stable RNA interference silencing in mammalian cells. To achieve stable RNA interference (RNAi) silencing in mammalian cells. DNA constructs, from which silencing RNAs can be made in vivo, can be transfected into the cells. Polymerase II or III promoters (for example, CMV or H1 and U6, respectively) can be used to drive the expression of silencing RNAs. Polymerase III transcription terminates at a run of thymidine (T) residues, and therefore the newly transcribed RNA will contain several uridines at the 3'-end Depending on construct design, a | silencing RNAs might adopt an inverted hairpin RNA (HP) structure, or b | the ~21-nucleotide sense and antisense RNAs can be transcribed separately (in trans), and only subsequent annealing would lead to siRNA formation (siRNA-in-trans, SIT). Black arrows indicate transcription.

confirmed that the transcribed hairpin RNAs are processed to smaller ~21–23-nt RNAs, indicating that siRNA-mediated mRNA cleavage resulted in silencing. In fact, in most cases, reduced target mRNA levels are seen, a result that is consistent with RNAimediated mechanisms (TABLE 2).

Yan Zeng et al.93 showed that silencing at the level of translation could be achieved by adding artificial miRNA target sites to the 3' end of a mRNA. This is the first demonstration of an artificial mRNA-miRNA interaction that mimics translational repression as opposed to mRNA degradation. The mechanisms behind these activities need further investigation, and translational repression should be considered as a separate and potentially powerful avenue for targeted gene silencing. Zeng et al. also report⁹³ that polymerase II (pol II), which normally transcribes mRNAs, can be used to transcribe a silencing hairpin RNA — in their study, the miRNA and miRNA-containing hairpin were expressed from sequences downstream of a CMV (cytomegalovirus) promoter. Which polymerase transcribes precursor miRNAs in vivo remains unknown.

Conclusion

It is likely that gene vectors that encode siRNAs or miRNAs will become widely accepted as gene-knockout tools. It is also foreseeable that these new RNAi constructs could be coupled to lentivirus, adenovirus or other delivery vectors that are used in gene therapy, which paves the way for a new wave of therapeutic molecules in the years to come. It is certain that the ability of siRNAs to silence specific genes, either when transfected directly as siRNAs or when generated from DNA vectors, will transform future studies of cellular systems and biology in mammalian cells.

Table OL DNIA allowation according to

REVIEWS

- Fire, A. et al. Potent and specific genetic interference by 1 double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature **391**, 806-811 (1998). The first paper to describe RNAi. Soon after, RNAi
- became a standard means by which to analyse gene function in C. elegans. 2 Vaucheret, H., Beclin, C. & Fagard, M. Post-transcriptional
- gene silencing in plants. J. Cell Sci. 114, 3083-3091 (2001).
- Baulcombe, D. RNA silencing. Curr. Biol. 12, R82-R84 3. (2002).
- Grishok, A., Tabara, H. & Mello, C. C. Genetic requirements 4 for inheritance of RNAi in C. elegans. Science 287, 2494-2497 (2000).
- Hamilton, A. J. & Baulcombe, D. C. A species of small 5 antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. Science 286, 950-952 (1999) The authors present the first evidence for the key mediator of RNAi: the small interfering RNAs, now known as siRNAs. After this report, the presence of siRNAs in silenced tissue was considered to be the hallmark of active RNAi processes
- Zamore, P. D., Tuschl, T., Sharp, P. A. & Bartel, D. P. RNAi: double-stranded RNA directs the ATP-dependent cleavage 6. of mRNA at 21 to 23 nucleotide intervals. Cell 101, 25-33 (2000).

This paper helped to define the mechanism for RNAi. The authors showed that siRNAs can be produced from long dsRNAs, and that the siRNAs direct

- targeted mRNA cleavage. Bernstein, E., Caudy, A. A., Hammond, S. M. & Hannon, 7. G. J. Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature* **409**, 363–366 (2001). The authors describe the enzyme for siRNA production, the RNase III enzyme, Dicer. Parrish, S., Fleenor, J., Xu, S., Mello, C. & Fire, A. Functional
- anatomy of a dsRNA trigger. Differential requirement for the two trigger strands in RNA interference. *Mol. Cell* **6**, 1077-1087 (2000).
- 9. Yang, D., Lu, H. & Erickson, J. W. Evidence that processed small dsRNAs may mediate sequence-specific mRNA degradation during RNAi in *Drosophila* embryos. *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 1191–1200 (2000).
- Hammond, S. M., Bernstein, E., Beach, D. & Hannon, G. J. An RNA-directed nuclease mediates post-transcriptional gene silencing in Drosophila cells. Nature 404, 293-296 (2000)
- 11. Elbashir, S. M., Lendeckel, W. & Tuschl, T. RNA interference is mediated by 21- and 22-nucleotide RNAs. Genes Dev
- 15, 188–200 (2001). Donze, O. & Picard, D. RNA interference in mammalian 12. cells using siRNAs synthesized with T7 RNA polymerase. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, E46 (2002).
- Yu, J. Y., DeRuiter, S. L. & Turner, D. L. RNA interference by 13. expression of short-interfering RNAs and hairpin RNAs in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**,
- 6047–6052 (2002). Paddison, P. J., Caudy, A. A., Bernstein, E., Hannon, G. J. 14. & Conklin, D. S. Short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) induce sequence-specific silencing in mammalian cells. *Genes* Dev. 16, 948-958 (2002).
- Nykanen, A., Haley, B. & Zamore, P. D. ATP requirements and small interfering RNA structure in the RNA interference 15. pathway. Cell 107, 309-321 (2001).
- Yang, D. et al. Short RNA duplexes produced by hydrolysis with *Escherichia coli* RNase III mediate effective RNA 16. interference in mammalian cells. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **99**, 9942–9947 (2002).
- Lee, R. C., Feinbaum, R. L. & Ambros, V. The C. elegans 17. heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell 75, 843–854 (1003)
- The identification of the first miRNA.
- Reinhart, B. J. et al. The 21-nucleotide let-7 RNA regulates 18 developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 403, 901-906 (2000) The identification of let-7 as a second miRNA in the heterochronic gene pathway indicated that many
- more miRNAs might be found. 10 Olsen, P. H. & Ambros, V. The lin-4 regulatory RNA controls developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans by
- blocking LIN-14 protein synthesis after the initiation of translation. *Dev. Biol.* **216**, 671–680 (1999). Moss, E. G., Lee, R. C. & Ambros, V. The cold shock domain protein LIN-28 controls developmental timing in 20 C. elegans and is regulated by the lin-4 RNA. Cell 88,
- 637–646 (1997). Wightman, B., Ha, I. & Ruvkun, G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell 75, 855-862 (1993).

- Rougvie, A. E. Control of developmental timing in animals. 22. Nature Rev. Genet. 2, 690-701 (2001).
- Pasquinelli, A. E. et al. Conservation of the sequence and 23 temporal expression of *let-7* heterochronic regulatory RNA.
- Nature 408, 86–89 (2000). Sharp, P. A. RNA interference—2001. Genes Dev. 15, 24. 485-490 (2001).
- Moss, E. G. Non-coding RNAs: lightning strikes twice. Curr. 25. Biol. 10, R436-R439 (2000).
- Grishok, A. *et al.* Genes and mechanisms related to RNA interference regulate expression of the small temporal 26 RNAs that control C. elegans developmental timing. Cell 106, 23-34 (2001).

This work provided a link between RNAi and the heterochronic gene pathway by showing that siRNAs and stRNAs are produced by the same molecules.

- Ketting, R. F. *et al.* Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in C. elegans. Genes Dev. 15, 2654–2659 (2001).
- Bass, B. L. Double-stranded RNA as a template for gene silencing. *Cell* **101**, 235–238 (2000). 28. Hutvagner, G. et al. A cellular function for the RNA-
- interference enzyme Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. Science 293, 834–838 (2001).
- Knight, S. W. & Bass, B. L. A role for the RNase III enzyme DCR-1 in RNA interference and germ line development in 30 *C. elegans. Science* **293**, 2269–2271 (2001). Williams, R. W. & Rubin, G. M. ARGONAUTE1 is required
- 31 for efficient RNA interference in Drosophila embryos. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 99, 6889-6894 (2002)
- Morel, J. B. *et al.* Fertile hypomorphic ARGONAUTE (*ago1*) 32. mutants impaired in post-transcriptional gene silencing and virus resistance. *Plant Cell* **14**, 629–639 (2002).
- Hammond, S. M., Boettcher, S., Caudy, A. A., Kobayashi, R. & Hannon, G. J. Argonaute2, a link between genetic and biochemical analyses of RNAi. *Science* **293**, 1146–1150 (2001).
- Chakravarty, I., Bagchi, M. K., Roy, R., Banerjee, A. C. & Gupta, N. K. Protein synthesis in rabbit reticulocytes. 34 Purification and properties of an Mr 80,000 polypeptide (Co-elF-2A80) with Co-elF-2A activity. J. Biol. Chem. 260, 6945–6949 (1985). Dasgupta, A. *et al.* Protein synthesis in rabbit reticulocytes.
- 35. XXI. Purification and properties of a protein factor (Co-EIF-1) which stimulates Met-tRNAf binding to EIF-1. *J. Biol.* Chem. 253, 6054–6059 (1978).
- Martinez, J., Patkaniowska, A., Urlaub, H., Luhrmann, R. & Tuschl, T. Single-stranded antisense siRNAs guide target RNA cleavage in RNAi. Cell 110, 563-574 (2002).
- Lagos-Quintana, M., Rauhut, R., Lendeckel, W. & Tuschl, T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed 37 RNAs. Science 294, 853-858 (2001). Together with references 38 and 39, these papers

describe the cornucopia of miRNAs. Lau, N. C., Limle, F. P., Weinstein, F. G. & Bartel da, V. P. 38 An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory

- roles in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science 294, 858-862 (2001). Lee, R. C. & Ambros, V. An extensive class of small RNAs in 39
- *Caenorhabditis elegans. Science* **294**, 862–864 (2001). Lagos-Quintana, M. *et al.* Identification of tissue-specific 40
- microRNAs from mouse. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 735–739 (2002). Reinhart, B. J., Weinstein, E. G., Rhoades, M. W., Bartel, B. 41
- & Bartel, D. P. MicroRNAs in plants. Genes Dev. 16, 1616-1626 (2002).
- Llave, C., Kasschau, K. D., Maggie, A. R. & Carrington, J. 42 C. Endogenous and silencing-associated small RNAs in plants. *Plant Cell* **14**, 1605–1619 (2002).
- Rhoades, M. W. et al. Prediction of plant microRNA targets. Cell **110**, 513–520 (2002). 43. The authors predict that miRNAs in plants might regulate genes in a manner similar to that by siRNAs. Several of their predicted targets map to open
- reading frames Mourelatos, Z. et al. miRNPs: a novel class of 44. ribonucleoproteins containing numerous microRNAs Genes Dev. 16, 720-728 (2002).
- Fischer, U., Liu, Q. & Dreyfuss, G. The SMN–SIP1 complex 45 has an essential role in spliceosomal snRNP biogenesis. Cell 90, 1023-1029 (1997).
- Jones, K. W. et al. Direct interaction of the spinal muscular atrophy disease protein SMN with the small nucleolar RNAassociated protein fibrillarin. J. Biol. Chem. 276,
- 38645–38651 (2001). Meister, G., Buhler, D., Pillai, R., Lottspeich, F. & Fischer, U. 47. A multiprotein complex mediates the ATP-dependent assembly of spliceosomal U snRNPs. Nature Cell Biol. 3, 945–949 (2001).
- Mourelatos, Z., Abel, L., Yong, J., Kataoka, N. & Dreyfuss, 48. G. SMN interacts with a novel family of hnRNP and spliceosomal proteins. EMBO J. 20, 5443-5452 (2001).

- Pellizzoni, L., Baccon, J., Charroux, B. & Dreyfuss, G. The 49 survival of motor neurons (SMN) protein interacts with the snoRNP proteins fibrillarin and GAR1. Curr. Biol. 11, 1079–1088 (2001).
- Pellizzoni, L., Charroux, B., Rappsilber, J., Mann, M. & Dreyfuss, G. A functional interaction between the survival 50 motor neuron complex and RNA polymerase II. J. Cell Biol. 152, 75-85 (2001).
- Hutvagner, G. & Zamore, P. D. A microRNA in a multipleturnover RNAi enzyme complex. Science 1 August 2002; [epub ahead of print].
- Elbashir, S. M. *et al.* Duplexes of 21-nucleotide RNAs mediate RNA interference in cultured mammalian cells. 52 Nature 411, 494-498 (2001). The first description of siRNA use in mammalian cell cultures. A wave of siRNA use in mammalian cells
 - soon followed this key publication. McManus, M. T., Haines, B. B., Chen, J. & Sharp, P. A.
- 53 siRNA-mediated gene silencing in T-cells. J. Immunol. (in the press). Caplen, N. J., Parrish, S., Imani, F., Fire, A. & Morgan, R. A
- Specific inhibition of gene expression by small double stranded RNAs in invertebrate and vertebrate systems. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 98, 9742-9747 (2001)
- Jacque, J. M., Triques, K. & Stevenson, M. Modulation of HIV-1 replication by RNA interference. *Nature* **418**, 55 435–438 (2002). Cogoni, C. & Macino, G. Gene silencing in *Neurospora*
- 56 crassa requires a protein homologous to RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Nature **399**, 166–169 (1999). Dalmay, T., Hamilton, A., Rudd, S., Angell, S. &
- 57. Baulcombe, D. C. An RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene in *Arabidopsis* is required for posttranscriptional gene silencing mediated by a transgene but not by a virus. Cell
- 101, 543–553 (2000). Smardon, A. *et al.* EGO-1 is related to RNA-directed RNA 58 polymerase and functions in germ-line development and RNA interference in C. elegans. Curr. Biol. 10, 169–178 (2000).
- 59 Silen, T. et al. On the role of RNA amplification in dsRNA-
- triggered gene silencing. *Cell* **107**, 465–476 (2001). Harborth, J., Elbashir, S. M., Bechert, K., Tuschi, T. & Weber, K. Identification of essential genes in cultured 60 mammalian cells using small interfering RNAs. J. Cell Sci 114 4557-4565 (2001)
- Elbashir, S. M., Harborth, J., Weber, K. & Tuschl, T. 61. Analysis of gene function in somatic mammalian cells using small interfering RNAs. *Methods* **26**, 199–213 (2002)
- Caplen, N. J., Fleenor, J., Fire, A. & Morgan, R. A. dsRNA-mediated gene silencing in cultured *Drosophila* cells: a 62 tissue culture model for the analysis of RNA interference. Gene 252, 95–105 (2000).
- Holen, T., Amarzguioui, M., Wilger, M. T., Babaie, E. & Prydz, H. Positional effects of short interfering RNAs targeting the human coagulation trigger tissue factor. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 1757–1766 (2002). http://www.mpibpc.gusdg.de/abteilungen/100/105/siRNA .html
- Elbashir, S. M., Martinez, J., Patkaniowska, A., Lendeckel, 64. W. & Tuschl, T. Functional anatomy of siRNAs for mediating efficient RNAi in *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo lysate. *EMBO J.* 20, 6877–6888 (2001).
- Tuschl, T. The siRNA User Guide 2001 www.mpibpc.gwdg.de/abteilungen/100/105/sirna.html Novina, C. D. et al. siRNA-directed inhibition of HIV-1 66
- infection. *Nature Med.* **8**, 681–686 (2002). Lee, N. S. *et al.* Expression of small interfering RNAs 67
- targeted against HIV-1 rev transcripts in hum Nature Biotechnol. **20**, 500–505 (2002). Scherr, M. et al. Detection of antisense and ribozyme 68
- accessible sites on native mRNAs: application to *NCOA3* mRNA. *Mol. Ther.* **4**, 454–460 (2001).
- Scherr, M. & Rossi, J. J. Rapid determination and quantitation of the accessibility to native RNAs by 69 antisense oligodeoxynucleotides in murine cell extracts. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 5079–5085 (1998). Waterhouse, P. M., Wang, M. B. & Lough, T. Gene
- 70 silencing as an adaptive defence against viruses. Nature 411, 834–842 (2001). Bitko, V. & Barik, S. Phenotypic silencing of cytoplasmic
- 71 genes using sequence-specific double-stranded short interfering RNA and its application in the reverse genetics of wild-type negative-strand RNA viruses. BMC Microbiol. 1, 34 (2001).
- Gitlin, L., Karelsky, S. & Andino, R. Short interfering RNA 72 confers intracellular antiviral immunity in human cells. Nature **418**, 430–434 (2002).
- Hu, W. H., Myers, C. P., Kilver, J. M., Pfaff, S. L. & Bushman, F. D. Inhibition of retroviral pathogenesis by 73 RNA interference. Curr. Biol. 12, 1301 (2002).

- Coburn, G. A. & Cullen, B. R. Potent and specific inhibition 74 of HIV-1 replication using RNA interference. J. Virol. 76, 9225-9231 (2002).
- McCaffrey, A. P. et al. RNA interference in adult mice. Nature
- **418**, 38–39 (2002). Lewis, D. L., Hagstrom, J. E., Loomis, A. G., Wolff, J. A. & 76. Herweijer, H. Efficient delivery of siRNA for inhibition of gene expression in postnatal mice. Nature Genet. 32, 107-108 (2002).
- 77. Li, H., Xiang, L. W. & Ding, S. W. Induction and suppression of RNA silencing by an animal virus. Science 296, 1319-1323 (2002).
- Zhang, K. & Nicholson, A. W. Regulation of ribonuclease III 78 processing by double-helical sequence antideterminants. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 94, 13437–13441 (1997).
- Wu, H. et al. A novel family of RNA tetraloop structure forms 79 the recognition site for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RNase III. *EMBO J.* **20**, 7240–7249 (2001).
- Chanfreau, G., Buckle, M. & Jacquier, A. Recognition of a conserved class of RNA tetraloops by Saccharomyces cerevisiae RNase III. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97,
- 3142–3147 (2000). Lai, E. C., Burks, C. & Posakony, J. W. The K box, a 81. conserved 3' UTR sequence motif, negatively regulates accumulation of enhancer of split complex transcripts. *Development* **125**, 4077–4088 (1998).
- Lai, E. C. & Posakony, J. W. The Bearded box, a novel 3' UTR sequence motif, mediates negative posttranscriptional regulation of Bearded and Enhancer of split complex gene expression. Development 124, 4847-4856 (1997).
- Kennerdell, J. R. & Carthew, R. W. Heritable gene silencing in *Drosophila* using double-stranded RNA. *Nature* 83 Biotechnol. 18, 896-898 (2000).
- Tavernarakis, N., Wang, S. L., Dorovkov, M., Ryazanov, A. & Driscoll, M. Heritable and inducible genetic interference by 84 double-stranded RNA encoded by transgenes. *Nature Genet.* **24**, 180–183 (2000).
- Chuang, C. F. & Meyerowitz, E. M. Specific and heritable genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97, 4985–4990 (2000).
- Smith, N. A. *et al.* Total silencing by intron-spliced hairpin RNAs. *Nature* **407**, 319–320 (2000). 87.
- Paddison, P. J., Caudy, A. A. & Hannon, G. J. Stable suppression of gene expression by RNAi in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 1443–1448 (2002).
- 88 Svoboda, P., Stein, P. & Schultz, R. M. RNAi in mouse oocytes and preimplantation embryos: effectiveness of

hairpin dsRNA. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 287, 1099–1104 (2001). Brummelkamp, T. R., Bernards, R. & Agami, R. A system

- 89 for stable expression of short interfering RNAs in
- mammalian cells. *Science* **296**, 550–553 (2002). Paul, C. P., Good, P. D., Winer, I. & Engelke, D. R. Effective 90. expression of small interfering RNA in human cells. Nature Biotechnol. 20, 505-508 (2002).
- Miyagishi, M. & Taira, K. U6 promoter driven siRNAs with four uridine 3' overhangs efficiently suppress targeted gene expression in mammalian cells. *Nature Biotechnol.* **20**,
- 497–500 (2002). Sui, G. *et al.* A DNA vector-based RNAi technology to 92. suppress gene expression in mammalian cells. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 99, 5515-5520 (2002).
- Zeng, Y., Wagner, E. J. & Cullen, B. R. Both natural and 93. designed microRNAs can inhibit the expression of cognate mRNAs when expressed in human cells. Mol. Cell 9, 1-20 (2002)
- McManus, M. T., Petersen, C. P., Haines, B. B., Chen, J. & Sharp, P. A. Gene silencing using micro-RNA designed 94 hairpins. *RNA* **8**, 842–850 (2002). McConnell, J. R. *et al.* Role of PHABULOSA and
- 95 PHAVOLUTA in determining radial patterning in shoots.
- Nature 411, 709–713 (2001). Pysh, L. D., Wysocka-Diller, J. W., Camilleri, C., Bouchez, 96. D. & Benfey, P. N. The GRAS gene family in *Arabidopsis*: sequence characterization and basic expression analysis of the SCARECROW-LIKE genes. Plant J. 18, 111-119 (1999)
- Gil, J. & Esteban, M. Induction of apoptosis by the dsRNA-97. dependent protein kinase (PKR): mechanism of actio Apoptosis 5, 107–114 (2000).
- Kumar, M. & Carmichael, G. G. Antisense RNA: function and fate of duplex RNA in cells of higher eukaryote *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 62, 1415–1434 (1998).
- Tabara *et al.* The *rde-1* gene, RNA interface and transposon silencing in *C.elegans. Cell* 99, 123–132 (2002).
 Ohta, T. *et al.* Characterization of CEP135, a novel coiled-
- coil centrosomal protein involved in microtubule organization in mammalian cells. J. Cell Biol. 156, 87–99
- (2002) 101. Zhihong, C., Indjeian, V. B., McManus, M. T., Wang, L. & Dynlacht, B. D. A novel, cell cycle-dependent centriolar CDK substrate regulates centrosome duplication in human cells. *Dev. Cell* **2**, 1–16 (2002).
- Moskalenko, S. *et al.* The exocyst is a Ral effector complex. *Nature Cell Biol.* 4, 66–72 (2002).

- 103. Kisielow, M., Kleiner, S., Nagasawa, M., Faisal, A. & Nagamine, Y. Isoform-specific knockdown and expression of adaptor protein ShcA using small interfering RNA. Biochem. J. 363, 1–5 (2002).
- Stucke, V. M., Sillje, H. H., Arnaud, L. & Nigg, E. A. Human Mps1 kinase is required for the spindle assembly checkpoint 104 but not for centrosome duplication. EMBO J. 21, 1723-1732 (2002).
- 105. Wu, F., Yan, W., Pan, J., Morser, J. & Wu, Q. Processing of pro-atrial natriuretic peptide by corin in cardiac myocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 16900–16905 (2002).
- Bai, X. et al. Biosynthesis of the linkage region of 106. glycosaminoglycans: cloning and activity of galactosyltransferase II, the sixth member of the beta 1,3galactosyltransferase family (beta 3GalT6). J. Biol. Chem. 276, 48189–48195 (2001).
- 107. Garrus, J. E. *et al.* Tsg101 and the vacuolar protein sorting pathway are essential for HIV-1 budding. *Cell* **107**, 55–65 , (2001).
- 108. Mailand, N. *et al.* Deregulated human Cdc14A phosphatase disrupts centrosome separation and chromosome
- segregation. *Nature Cell Biol* **4**, 318–322 (2002).
 109. Zou, L., Cortez, D. & Elledge, S. J. Regulation of ATR substrate selection by Rad17-dependent loading of Rad9 complexes onto chromatin. Genes Dev. 16, 198-208 (2002)

Acknowledgements

The authors thank P. Zanmore, B. Cullen, A. Pasquirelli and the Sharp lab for critical reading of the manuscript. Our apologies to those whose work which could not be cited due to space constraints. NCI grant to P.A.S. and partially by NCI Cancer centre support. M.T.M is a fellow of the Cancer Research Institute.

Online links

DATABASES

The following terms in this database are linked online to: LocusLink: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/LocusLink Dicer | EIF2C/Argonaute | EIF2C2 | GEMIN3 | GEMIN4 | Iamin |

NUMA1 | PKR| Scarecow| SMN complex | TF | TP53 | vimentin The Arabidopsis Information Resource: http://www.arabidopsis.org

ATHB-8 PHB PHV

WormBase: http://www.wormbase.org

ALG-1 | ALG-2 | *lin-4* | *let-7* | *lin-14* | *lin-28* | *lin-41* Access to this interactive links box is free online.